

I would like to say that in no way shape or form should the NAB be allowed to restrict the workings of a privately owned and operated company like XM. We pay money for the services they provide, and that would be satellite radio. I personally got XM radio because there was nothing good on my local radio stations. The local radio stations, which are probably influenced by the NAB, are not for all persons. In the small town of Erie, PA where I live there are about 12 stations, none of which play anything I like to listen to. There was one station I liked but the management decided that the genre wasn't a money maker (advertisements), so they switched to country. That was when I got XM radio. I have never regretted purchasing the system and listen to it all the time. I have actually not bought a music CD since I got the system, 2 years ago. Music stations are losing money because people are not listening to their crap. Let's think about this for a minute the NAB wants to place restrictions on XM Radio. Let's flip the situation around. XM petitions the FCC (which from my understanding doesn't have any oversight of satellite communications) to place restrictions on NAB for their broadcastings. The NAB would be up in arms over the idea. Well that's exactly what you have here the people who subscribe to XM radio do because they like and want what XM has to offer. Why doesn't the NAB go after Sirius or any other satellite radio provider. No they go after the one who is the biggest threat, which would be XM. And that's what most of this comes down to MONEY!!!!!!! Thank you, Sincerely, Karl Lewis (loyal XM Listener)